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Methodology Review and Change Consultation Process March 2020

Open consultation and formal process

Fastmarkets’ editorial teams carry out a formal review and approval of the methodologies on an annual basis.

To initiate this process, the editorial teams issue an open consultation at least one month before the annual methodology review is due, inviting feedback from users and subscribers over the duration of that consultation period.

If the editorial team identify changes required to existing methodologies, they classify them either as ‘material’ or ‘immaterial’:

- Material changes are those that, once implemented, may result in fundamental changes to the published price. These include specification changes or structural changes to indices.
- Immaterial changes are those that will not result in a different price level once they are implemented.

Fastmarkets writes a coverage note setting out its timetable for the consultation and the method for submission.

Timescales on consultations may vary but, in line with international best practice, they will last no less than one month (or around 20 working days). This should give market participants sufficient time to analyse and comment on specific proposed changes and/or on whether Fastmarkets’ methodologies continue to reflect the physical markets under assessment.

If a material change to the methodology is required, Fastmarkets includes in its coverage note:

- The outline of the proposed change;
- The rationale or motivation for proposing such a change; and
- A proposed timetable for the date on which, if the change goes ahead, it would be implemented.

Further consultation and approval process

Following the open consultation, Fastmarkets’ editorial teams review the feedback and comments received, including any suggested changes to existing methodologies.

If no change is proposed, they publish a coverage note to conclude the methodology review. This includes the link to the methodology document and the date of the next scheduled review.

If a change is proposed, the editorial teams determine whether it is material and whether sufficient feedback has been received to implement it. If not, the consultation period is extended and a new coverage note is published inviting comments on the new proposal.

At the end of the new consultation period, the editorial teams review any further feedback received and decide on whether a change should be made. The decision is approved by the managing editor and announced via a coverage note concluding the methodology review and including the link to the new document and the date of the next scheduled review.

A record of the methodology review is sent the Compliance team. All comments received from the market are assumed to be confidential and are treated as such unless stated otherwise.

When Fastmarkets proposes a change to the methodology, it should be understood that no decision has yet been made and that the proposal to make a change should not automatically be understood as confirmation that the change will happen.

Ad-hoc changes to methodologies

Outside of the formal methodology review process, editors may from time to time suggest changes or additions to reflect market developments.
As with the formal review, changes to the existing methodology will either be classed as ‘material’ or ‘immaterial’. The process for implementing the change will be the same as outlined above for formal reviews.

The minimum duration of one month (or around 20 working days) for the consultation process normally provides market participants sufficient opportunity to analyse and comment on the impact of the proposed change.
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